RELATIVELY EXPANDING BOX SPACES WITH NO EXPANSION

GOULNARA ARZHANTSEVA AND ROMAIN TESSERA

Abstract. We exhibit a finitely generated group G and a sequence of finite index normal subgroups $N_n \trianglelefteqslant G$ such that for every finite generating subset $S \subseteq G$, the sequence of finite Cayley graphs $(G/N_n, S)$ does not coarsely embed into any L^p-space for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ (moreover, into any uniformly curved Banach space), and yet admits no weakly embedded expander.

arXiv:1402.1481v2 [math.GR] 19 Mar 2014

1. Introduction

A well-known obstruction for a metric space to coarsely embed into a Hilbert space is to admit a weakly embedded expander [Mat97, Gro00, Gro03]. In this paper, we address the following question:

Given a metric space which does not embed coarsely into a Hilbert space, does it necessary contain a weakly embedded expander?

As a metric space weakly containing an expander does not coarsely embed into ℓ^p for all $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, a counterexample is given by ℓ^p for any $2 < p < \infty$ which does not coarsely embed into ℓ^2 [JR06] (see [Ran06, MN08] for further results of the same kind).

However, the question remained open in the context of metric spaces with bounded geometry, and in particular for graphs with bounded degree (see [Ost13, Chapter 7]). We provide a strongly negative answer by constructing a sequence of 10-regular finite graphs that does not coarsely embed into any L^p-space for any $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, nor into any uniformly curved Banach space, and yet does not admit any weakly embedded expander.

Before stating more precise results, we recall a few definitions.

Coarse embedding. Let $(X_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of metric spaces and let (Y, d_Y) be a metric space. A sequence of maps $\phi_n \colon X_n \to Y$ is a *coarse embedding of* $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *into* Y if there exist two proper functions $\rho, \gamma : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in X_n$,

$$\rho(d_n(x,y)) \leqslant d_Y\left(\phi_n(x), \phi_n(y)\right) \leqslant \gamma(d_n(x,y)).$$

Expander. Given a finite connected graph X with $|X|$ vertices and a subset $A \subseteq X$, denote by ∂A the set of edges between A and $X \setminus A$. The *Cheeger constant* of X is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B85, 20F69, 22D10, 20E22.

Key words and phrases. Relative Kazhdan's property (T), Haagerup property, Gromov's a-T-menability, expander, box space.

The research of G.A. was partially supported by the ERC grant ANALYTIC no. 259527. The research of R.T. was partially supported by the ANR Blanc ANR-10-BLAN 0116, acronym GGAA.

defined as

$$h(X) := \min_{1\leqslant|A|\leqslant|X|/2} \frac{|\partial A|}{|A|}.$$

An *expander* is a sequence $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite connected graphs with uniformly bounded degree, $|X_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and $h(X_n) \geqslant c$ uniformly over $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some constant $c > 0$.

Weakly embedded sequence of finite metric spaces. This notion is used by Gromov [Gro03] in his (random) construction of Gromov's monsters: finitely generated groups that do not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space.

Let $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite metric spaces and let Y be a metric space. A sequence of maps $\phi_n \colon X_n \to Y$ is a *weak embedding* if there exists $K > 0$ such that ϕ_n are K-Lipschitz, and for all $R > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in X_n} \frac{|\phi_n^{-1}(B(\phi_n(x), R))|}{|X_n|} = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $B(y, R)$ denotes the ball of radius R centered at $y \in Y$.

If $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies that for all $R > 0$, $\sup_{n,x\in X_n} |B(x, R)| < \infty$, and $|X_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then a coarse embedding of the sequence $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ into Y is a weak embedding. In particular, a coarsely embedded expander is a weakly embedded expander. It is an open question whether the converse holds: does the existence of a weakly embedded expander imply the existence of a coarsely embedded expander?

On the other hand, if the target space Y is such that for every $R > 0$ we have $\sup_{y\in Y} |B(y, R)| < \infty$ (for instance, if Y is the vertex set of a graph with bounded degree), then (1.1) is equivalent to the following, see [GK04, Definition 5.4]:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in X_n} \frac{|\phi_n^{-1}(\phi_n(x))|}{|X_n|} = 0.$$

Box space. Let G be a finitely generated residually finite group and S a finite generating subset. The *box space* of G, associated to S and to a nested sequence of finite index normal subgroups $G = N_0 \trianglerighteqslant N_1 \trianglerighteqslant \cdots$ with trivial intersection $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n = \{e\}$, is the sequence[1] $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Cayley graphs $(X_n, d_n) := (G/N_n, d_{S_n})$, where each S_n is S modulo N_n.

Our main theorem is the following. Recall that an L^p-space is a Banach space of the form $L^p(\Omega, \nu)$ where (Ω, ν) is a measured space.

Theorem 1. *There exist a finitely generated residually finite group G and a box space $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of G which does not coarsely embed into any L^p-space for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, neither into any uniformly curved Banach space, and yet does not admit any sequence of weakly embedded expanders.*

[1]Usually, the box space is defined to be a single metric space $X := \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, where the distance $d_{n,m}$ between X_n and X_m, for $m \neq n$, is so that $d_{m,n} \to \infty$ as $\max\{n, m\} \to \infty$. One can easily check that a coarse (respectively, weak) embedding of such an individual box metric space X provides a coarse (respectively, weak) embedding of the above sequence $(X_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and vice versa.

This theorem relies on the two observations, of independent interest.

1.1. **Expanders and group extensions.** The following proposition roughly says that there are no expanders in an extension of two groups which admit coarse embeddings into a Hilbert space. It is an open question whether or not the coarse embeddability into a Hilbert space is preserved under taking extensions.

Proposition 2. *Let $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finitely generated groups equipped with finite generating sets S_n of size k. We assume that for every n, there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \to N_n \to G_n \to Q_n \to 1$$

such that:

- *the sequence $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the induced metric coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space;*
- *the sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the word metric associated to the projection T_n of S_n coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space.*

Then, given a number $K > 0$, an expander $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and a sequence of K-Lipschitz maps $h_n \colon X_n \to Y_n = (G_n, S_n)$, there exist a constant $c > 0$ and a sequence $y_n \in Y_n$ such that the cardinality of $h_n^{-1}(\{y_n\})$ is at least $c|X_n|$, and its diameter is $\geqslant c \operatorname{diam}(X_n)$.

1.2. **Relative Kazhdan's Property T and non-embeddability into ℓ^2.** Let G be a discrete countable group, and let $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ be an orthogonal representation of G in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A sequence $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of unit vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ is called *almost invariant*, if for all $g \in G$, $\|\pi(g)v_n - v_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $Y \subseteq G$ be an infinite subset. The pair (G, Y) has *relative Property T* [Cor06] if for every almost invariant sequence $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, the convergence is uniform on Y, that is, $\sup_{y\in Y} \|\pi(y)v_n - v_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Equivalently [AW81, Cor06], the pair (G, Y) has relative Property T if for every affine isometric action of G on $\mathcal{H}$, the orbits of Y are bounded. Every isometric action σ of a group G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ decomposes as $\sigma(g)v = \pi(g)v + b(g)$, where π is a norm-preserving representation, and b is a 1-cocycle. An affine action is therefore characterized by the data $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, b)$.

Somewhat opposite to relative Property T is the Haagerup Property: a discrete countable group has the *Haagerup property* if it admits an affine isometric action on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, b)$ such that b is a coarse embedding (such an action is called *metrically proper*).

The box space of a group without the Haagerup property does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space by an observation of John Roe [Roe03]. The following proposition strengthens this statement (perhaps, it is known among experts).

Proposition 3. *Let G be a finitely generated group, let S be a finite generating subset.*

(i) Assume G has a an infinite subset Y such that (G, Y) has relative Property T. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all finite quotients Q of G, every function f from Q to a Hilbert space satisfies the following "relative Poincaré" inequality:

for every $y \in Y$,

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \|f(g\bar{y}) - f(g)\|^2 \leqslant C \sum_{g\in Q, s\in S} \|f(g\bar{s}) - f(g)\|^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\bar{y}$ and $\bar{s}$ denote the projection of y and s in Q.

(ii) If one only assumes that G does not have the Haagerup property, then there exist $C > 0$ and a sequence of probability measures μ_n whose support W_n is finite and $d(e, W_n) \to \infty$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function f from Q to a Hilbert space satisfies:

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \left(\sum_{y\in W_n} \|f(g\bar{y}) - f(g)\|^2 \mu_n(y) \right) \leqslant C \sum_{g\in Q, s\in S} \|f(g\bar{s}) - f(g)\|^2. \tag{1.3}$$

As an immediate consequence, we deduce

Corollary 1.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group and let S be a finite generating subset. Assume that G does not satisfy the Haagerup property. Then for any sequence of normal finite index subgroups $N_n \trianglelefteqslant G$ such that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n = \{e\}$, the box space associated to S and to $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space. Moreover, if G has relative Property T with respect to an infinite subset, then the box space does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space.*

1.3. **Non-embeddability into L^p-spaces.** Observe that the results of the previous section do not provide any obstruction to embeddings into an L^p-space for $p > 2$ (note that coarse embeddability into L^p are equivalent for all $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$, see [Woj91, III.A.6] and [Sch38]). Using a different approach based on complex interpolation method due to V. Lafforgue, see [Pis10, §3], we can nevertheless obtain – under a certain condition – a Poincaré inequality which is valid for all L^p-spaces, for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, and more generally for all uniformly curved Banach space, see §5 for the definition.

Let G be a discrete countable group and let A be a finite subset of G, denote by M_A the averaging operator

$$M_A f(g) := \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{a\in A} f(ga),$$

for all $f \in \ell^2(G)$, and all $g \in G$. If G is finitely generated, and S is a finite symmetric generating subset, then M_S is the Markov operator associated to the simple random walk on the Cayley graph (G, S). On the other hand, if H is a subgroup, then M_H is the orthogonal projection on the subspace of H-right-invariant functions in $\ell^2(G)$.

Proposition 4. *Let G be a finitely generated group, let S be a finite symmetric generating subset. Assume G has a normal subgroup H such that (G, H) has relative Property T. Then, for every $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, there exist $C > 0$ and $n_0 \geqslant 1$, such that for every finite quotient Q of G, every function f from Q to an L^p-space satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \|M_{q(H)} f(g) - f(g)\|^p \leqslant C \sum_{g\in Q} \|M_S^{n_0} f(g) - f(g)\|^p,$$

where $q\colon G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ is the canonical projection. In particular, one deduces the following relative Poincaré inequality in L^p-spaces: for all $h \in H$,

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \|f(g\bar{h}) - f(g)\|^p \leqslant (2n_0)^p C \sum_{g\in Q, s\in S} \|f(g\bar{s}) - f(g)\|^p,$$

where $\bar{h} := q(h)$ and $\bar{s} := q(s)$.

Proposition 5. *We keep the notation of Proposition 4. For every uniformly curved Banach space X, there exist $C > 0$ and $n_0 \geqslant 1$, such that for every finite quotient Q of G, every function f from Q to X satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \|M_{q(H)} f(g) - f(g)\|^2 \leqslant C \sum_{g\in Q} \|M_S^{n_0} f(g) - f(g)\|^2,$$

In particular, for all $h \in H$ and f from Q to an X,

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \|f(g\bar{h}) - f(g)\|^2 \leqslant (2n_0)^2 C \sum_{g\in Q, s\in S} \|f(g\bar{s}) - f(g)\|^2.$$

As a consequence we get the following non-embeddability result extending its well-known version for expanders.

Corollary 1.2. *Assume G has an infinite normal subgroup H such that (G, H) has relative Property T. Then for any sequence of normal finite index subgroups $N_n \trianglelefteq G$ such that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n = \{e\}$, the box space associated to S and to $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not coarsely embed into any uniformly curved Banach space.*

1.4. **Relative expanders and Poincaré inequalities.** Given a sequence of groups G_n equipped with left-invariant metrics, we say that a sequence of subsets $Y_n \subseteq G_n$ is *bounded* if there exists $R > 0$ such that Y_n lies in the ball of radius R about the neutral element in G_n.

It is convenient to introduce the following terminology. Given a sequence of Cayley graphs $(Q_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $|S_n| \leqslant k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and a sequence of unbounded subsets $Y_n \subseteq Q_n$, $(Q_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an *expander relative to* Y_n if it satisfies (1.2) with a constant $C > 0$ independent of n. Clearly, the sequence $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an expander if it is an expander relative to itself. Unboundedness of Y_n ensures that relative expanders do not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space. On the other hand, it is currently unclear whether this also prevents them from coarsely embedding into L^p-spaces for all $2 \leqslant p < \infty$.

The phenomenon of relative expansion plays a crucial role[2] in [ALW01], where it is used in order to show that certain finite semi-direct products $A_n \rtimes H_n$ form an expander (in their case, expansion occurs both in H_n, and relative to A_n).

Observe that this terminology is essentially group theoretic in nature. However, the expansion relative to a sequence of *subgroups* has a nice graph-theoretic counterpart, namely expansion relative to a partition: given a sequence of finite

[2]In [ALW01], they use it to show that for certain sequences of finite Cayley graphs, expansion depends on the set of generators.

connected graphs $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with uniformly bounded degree, and for every n a partition $\mathcal{P}_n$ of the vertex set of Y_n into subsets such that $\max_{P\in\mathcal{P}_n}|P|\to\infty$, say that the sequence $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an *expander relative to* $\mathcal{P}_n$ if for every map $f\colon Y_n\to\mathcal{H}$,

$$\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}_n}\sum_{x\in P}\|f(x)-M_P(f)\|^2\leqslant C\sum_{x\sim y}\|f(x)-f(y)\|^2,$$

where $M_P(f):=\frac{1}{|P|}\sum_{x\in P}f(x)$, and where $x\sim y$ means they form an edge. In the case of a Cayley graph (G_n,S_n), that is, of an expander relative to unbounded subgroups $H_n\leqslant G_n$, the elements of the partition $\mathcal{P}_n$ are simply the right-cosets of H_n.

However, in the context of finite Cayley graphs (even of box spaces), we show that expansion relative to subgroups does not cover all possibilities: in §7.1, we construct a box space that does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space and yet is not an expander relative to any sequence of subgroups. For those box spaces, it does not seem that one can obtain anything better than inequalities as (1.2). These inequalities can be interpreted as special cases of Poincaré inequalities relative to a sequence of probability measures: given a sequence of finite connected graphs $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with uniformly bounded degree, a sequence $r_n\to\infty$ and for every n a probability measure μ_n supported on $\Delta_{r_n}(Y_n)=\{(x,y)\in Y_n\times Y_n,\ d(x,y)\geqslant r_n\}$, say that the sequence $(Y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an *expander relative to* μ_n if for every map $f\colon Y_n\to\mathcal{H}$,

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in\Delta_{r_n}(Y_n)}\|f(x)-f(y)\|^2\mu_n(x,y)\leqslant\frac{C}{|Y_n|}\sum_{x\sim y}\|f(x)-f(y)\|^2.$$

For the sake of comparison, observe that an expander is an expander relative to the (renormalized) counting measure on $Y_n\times Y_n$. At the other extreme, recall [Tes09, Ost09] that a metric space Y does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space if and only if there exist $r_n\to\infty$, a sequence of probability measures μ_n supported on $\Delta_{r_n}(Y)$, and a constant $C<\infty$, such that for every 1-Lipschitz map $f\colon Y\to\mathcal{H}$,

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in\Delta_{r_n}(Y)}\|f(x)-f(y)\|^2\mu_n(x,y)\leqslant C.$$

It is natural to ask whether our box space examples satisfy more "expander like" Poincaré inequalities, i.e. where the sequence of measures μ_n can be replaced by the counting measure on some unbounded sequence of subsets of the form $A_n\times A_n\subseteq Y_n\times Y_n$. This is answered negatively in the Appendix, showing that the main result of [Tes09, Ost09] is in this sense optimal.

1.5. **Applications in K-theory.** The use of expanders in K-theory and operator algebra, especially, in the quest for counterexamples in higher index theory, has been prevalent up to now. Certain classes of Margulis-type expanders (= the box spaces of Property T or Property τ groups) are known to be counterexamples to (strong variants of) the Baum-Connes conjecture, although they do satisfy the coarse analogue of the Novikov conjecture and for some of them, even the maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture [HLS02, WY12a, WY12b, OOY09].

In [CWY13], the authors introduced the notion *fibered coarse embedding* into a Hilbert space [CWY13, Example 2.4], which is a far generalization of a coarse embedding, sufficient for the maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture to hold. Instead of giving a precise definition here, let us only recall that a box space of a group G admits a fibered coarse embedding if and only if G has the Haagerup property [CWW13, Fin].

It is worthwhile to notice that by [Tu99] (see also [OOY09, Corollary 4.18]) and [MN06, Section 10.5], the maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture does hold for all the box spaces that are constructed in §7. It follows, in particular, that the box spaces of Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.3 provide interesting examples of metric spaces with bounded geometry satisfying the maximal coarse Baum-Connes conjecture and yet not admitting a fibered coarse embedding into a Hilbert space. Such examples can be obtained simply by taking any box space of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, but once again, the originality of our examples come form the fact that non-{fibered coarse embeddability} of our box spaces is linked to relative expansion as opposed to expansion.

For the sake of completeness, in §7.2, we slightly modify the construction of Theorem 7.3 in order to provide an example of a box space which does admit a fibered coarse embedding (and still does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space, and does not weakly contain any expander).

1.6. **Organization.** In §2, we recall some basic facts about expanders, coarse embeddings of abelian groups, and about the box space constructed in [AGŠ12]. In §4, we prove Proposition 3, and in §5, we prove Proposition 5. In §7, we prove our main result, Theorem 1. We also provide alternative constructions of box spaces which do not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space, and yet are not expanders relative to any sequence of subgroups, see Theorem 7.3. Finally, in the last section we raise some open questions.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Masato Mimura for interesting suggestions. We also thank Ana Khukhro, Mikhail Ostrovskii, and Yves Stalder for their remarks and corrections.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Expanders and Lipschitz embeddings.** Expanders have been pointed out by Gromov as an obstruction for a metric space to coarsely embed into a Hilbert space [Mat97, Gro00, Gro03]. This appeared in the context of his approach to the Novikov conjecture on the homotopy invariance of higher signatures. We refer the reader to wonderful a monograph [Lub94] and a survey [HLW06] for an extensive information on expanders and their ubiquitous applications, see also [NY12] for a recent account on their use in the context of the celebrated Baum-Connes and Novikov conjectures.

Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Given a finite connected graph X, let $\beta_p(X)$ be the minimal $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|X|^2} \sum_{x,y \in X} \|f(x) - f(y)\|^p \leqslant \frac{\beta}{|X|} \sum_{x \sim y} \|f(x) - f(y)\|^p, \tag{2.1}$$

for all maps f from X to an L^p-space. It is easy to see (applying this inequality to the indicatrice function of a subset) that a sequence of finite connected graphs $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with uniformly bounded degree, $|X_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_p(X_n) > 0$ is an expander. The converse is also true: for $p = 2$, this is due to Alon [Alo86], and the general case is due to Matoušek [Mat97].

For convenience, we call an individual graph satisfying (2.1) a (p, β)-*expander* graph.

Notation: Given a set X and a subset $A \subseteq X$, the complement of A in X is denoted by A^c. If X is a metric space and $r \geqslant 0$, the *r-neighborhood* of A is denoted by

$$[A]_r = \{x \in X,\ d(x, A) \leqslant r\}.$$

The following known fact is a special case of [BLMN05, Lemma 5.4]. For convenience, we provide a short proof.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, $\beta > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Let X be a (p, β)-expander graph of degree $\leqslant d$, and let A be a subset of X of cardinality $\geqslant \alpha|X|$. Then there exist $\beta', c' > 0$, only depending on p, β, α and d, such that*

$$\operatorname{diam}(A) \geqslant c' \operatorname{diam}(X),$$

and for all 1-Lipschitz maps f from A (equipped with the induced metric) to an L^p-space, we have

$$\frac{1}{|A|^2} \sum_{x,y \in A} \|f(x) - f(y)\|^p \leqslant \beta'. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The first statement is an easy exercise using that $h(X)$ is bounded below by a function of β. Let us show the second statement. Denote by

$$h_\alpha = h_\alpha(X) := \min_{1 \leqslant |\Omega| \leqslant (1-\alpha)|X|} \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\Omega|}.$$

One easily sees that $h_\alpha(X)$ is bounded below by some function of $h(X)$ and α. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U_i := [A]_i^c$. Observe that U_i is decreasing and $|U_i \setminus U_{i+1}| \geqslant |\partial U_i|/d \geqslant (h_\alpha/d)|U_i|$, so that

$$|U_i| \geqslant (1 + h_\alpha/d)|U_{i+1}|.$$

This implies that

$$|U_i| \leqslant \frac{|U_0|}{(1 + h_\alpha/d)^i} \leqslant \frac{|X|}{(1 + h_\alpha/d)^i}.$$

Let us denote $V_i := U_i \setminus U_{i+1}$.

Now let us extend f to all of X in the following way: for every point x in A^c, choose a point a_x in A at minimal distance from x and let $f(x) := f(a_x)$. Observe that if y is a neighbour of x, then the distance from a_x to a_y is at most $d(x, A) + d(y, A) + 1$.

Now if x belongs to V_i, then $d(a_x, a_y) \leqslant 2i+4$. Since f is 1-Lipschitz, it follows that for all pairs of neighbours x and y such that x is in V_i, one has $\|f(x)-f(y)\|^p \leqslant (2i+4)^p$.

Moreover, for $x \in A$, one has $\|f(x) - f(y)\|^p \leqslant 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x\sim y} \|f(x)-f(y)\|^p &\leqslant d|A| + \sum_i \left(\sum_{x\in V_i, y\sim x} \|f(x)-f(y)\|^p \right) \\ &\leqslant d|X| + |X|\theta(h_\alpha, d, p),\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(h_\alpha, d, p) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (2i+4)^p/(1+h_\alpha/d)^i$, which is obviously a converging series. We now apply (2.1) to f:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{|X|^2}\sum_{x,y\in X} \|f(x)-f(y)\|^p &\leqslant \frac{\beta}{|X|}\sum_{x\sim y} \|f(x)-f(y)\|^p \\ &\leqslant \beta(d+\theta(h_\alpha, d, p)).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{|A|^2}\sum_{x,y\in A} \|f(x)-f(y)\|^p \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha^2|X|^2}\sum_{x,y\in X} \|f(x)-f(y)\|^p,$$

we deduce the lemma with $\beta' := \beta(d+\theta(h_\alpha, d, p))/\alpha^2$. □

An immediate consequence of (2.2) is

Corollary 2.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, there is $x_0 \in X$ such that at least $|A|/2$ points of A are mapped at distance at most $2\sqrt{\beta'}$ from x_0. Moreover, if $A = X$, then we can take $\beta'_p := \beta d$.*

2.2. **A box space of the free group that coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space.** Our main result, Theorem 1, relies on a recent construction by Arzhantseva–Guentner–Špakula of a box space of the free group of rank $m \geqslant 2$, equipped with its standard generating subset, which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space [AGŠ12].

Let us start with some notation. Let G be a group. For every $n \geqslant 0$, define inductively the characteristic subgroup $\Gamma_n(G)$ of G as $\Gamma_0(G) := G$, and $\Gamma_{n+1}(G)$ is the subgroup of $\Gamma_n(G)$ generated by squares of elements of $\Gamma_n(G)$. Observe that for all $l, k \geqslant 0$,

$$\Gamma_{k+l}(G) := \Gamma_k(\Gamma_l(G)).$$

If G is the free group $\mathbb{F}_m$ on $m \geqslant 2$ generators, then we denote by $H_n := \mathbb{F}_m/\Gamma_n(\mathbb{F}_m)$ the corresponding quotient. Denote by $T_n = \{t_1^{(n)}, \dots, t_m^{(n)}\}$ the image of the standard generating set of $\mathbb{F}_m$ in H_n.

Our central tool is the following observation.

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a finite group of order 2^n. Then $\Gamma_n(H) = \{e\}$. In particular, if H admits a set of generators $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$, then there is an epimorphism $H_n \twoheadrightarrow H$ mapping each $t_i^{(n)}$ to s_i.*

Proof. We prove this statement by induction on n. The case $n = 0$ being trivial, we assume $n \geqslant 1$. Being nilpotent, H has a non-trivial abelianization. In an abelian 2-group the set of squares is obviously a proper subgroup. It follows that $\Gamma_1(H)$

is a proper subgroup of H and therefore has order 2^j, with $j < n$. By induction hypothesis, we have $\Gamma_{j+1}(H) = \Gamma_j(\Gamma_1(H)) = \{e\}$, and in particular $\Gamma_n(H) = \{e\}$. □

Theorem 2.4. [AGŠ12] *The box space of $\mathbb{F}_m$ associated to its standard set of generators and to the sequence $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{F}_m)$ coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space.*

In [AGŠ12], the result is stated for $m = 2$, however, all the details and proofs hold without modifications for all $m \geqslant 2$.

2.3. **Coarse embeddings of abelian groups.**

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\theta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a proper function. Let $(A_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of abelian groups equipped with invariant metrics d_n such that for all r, balls of radius r have cardinality at most $\theta(r)$. Then (A_n, d_n)'s are* uniformly amenable*: for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and all $r > 0$, there exists $D > 0$ and a subset $F_n \in A_n$ of diameter $\leqslant D$ such that for all $g \in A_n$ such that $d_n(e, g) \leqslant r$,*

$$|gF_n \,\triangle\, F_n| \leqslant \varepsilon |F_n|.$$

Proof. Let $A_n(r)$ be the subgroup of A_n generated by the ball of radius $r + 1$ with respect to d_n. Observe that the cosets of $A_n(r)$ are at distance $> r$ from one another. Hence, if g is at distance $\leqslant r$ from e, then multiplication by g preserves $A_n(r)$. Let d be the smallest integer larger than $\theta(r)$: the cardinality of the ball of radius r in A_n being $\leqslant d$, there exists a surjective morphism $\pi_n : \mathbb{Z}^d \to A_n(r)$, mapping the standard basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ to the ball of radius r in A_n. Pick R large enough so that the ball of radius R in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies $|B(e, R) \,\triangle\, B(v_i, R)| \leqslant \varepsilon |B(e, R)|$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \theta(r)$ (this is possible as balls are Følners sets in $\mathbb{Z}^d$). It follows that $F_n := \pi_n(B(e, R))$ satisfies $|gF_n \,\triangle\, F_n| \leqslant \varepsilon |F_n|$. On the other hand, the diameter of F_n is less than $D := 2R + 2r$, so we are done. □

A coarse embedding of a sequence $(X_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is often called a *uniform coarse embedding* in contrast to a *coarse embedding* $\phi\colon X \to E$ of an individual space (X, d), viewed as a trivial sequence consisting of a single space. An equivariant coarse embedding of a sequence then leads to a uniform variant of the Haagerup property.

A sequence of groups $(G_n, d_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with left-invariant distances satisfies the *Haagerup Property uniformly*, if the G_n's admit affine isometric actions $(\mathcal{H}, \pi_n, b_n)$ such that $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a uniform sequence of coarse embeddings, that is, with proper functions ρ and γ independent of n.

Corollary 2.6. *With the notation of Lemma 2.5, the sequence of metric spaces (A_n, d_n) satisfies the Haagerup property uniformly. In particular, such a sequence (uniformly) coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space.*

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.5, this simply follows from the standard proof that an amenable group satisfies the Haagerup property [AW81, BCV95]. □

3. Proof of Proposition 2

In this section we adopt the notation of Proposition 2.

Let $\phi_n : (N_n, d_{S_n}) \to \mathcal{H}$ and $\psi_n : (Q_n, T_n) \to \mathcal{H}$ be two coarse embeddings, i.e. such that there exist two proper functions $\rho, \gamma : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in N_n$,

$$\rho(d_{S_n}(x, y)) \leqslant \|\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y)\| \leqslant \gamma(d_{S_n}(x, y)), \tag{3.1}$$

and similarly, for all $x, y \in Q_n$,

$$\rho(d_{T_n}(x, y)) \leqslant \|\psi_n(x) - \psi_n(y)\| \leqslant \gamma(d_{T_n}(x, y)). \tag{3.2}$$

Using the argument of [CTV07, Section 3.4], one can assume in addition that $\gamma(t) = t/K$. Let us briefly recall the argument: by [CTV07, Lemma 3.11], for every proper function $u : [1, \infty) \to [1/K^2, \infty)$, there exists a proper Bernstein function F such that $F \leqslant u$. Pick one such F such that $F(\gamma^2(t)) \leqslant (t/K)^2$ for all $t \geqslant 1$. By Schoenberg's theorem [Sch38], $(x, y) \to F(\|\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(y)\|^2)$ is a conditionally negative definite kernel on N_n, hence equal to $\|\phi'(x) - \phi'(y)\|^2$ for some map $\phi' : N_n \to \mathcal{H}'$. It follows by construction that ϕ' satisfies (3.1) with $\gamma(t) = t/K$.

Note that for Q_n, which is equipped with a word metric, this adjustment simply follows by rescaling the Hilbert space norm.

Let $\beta > 0$, d be such that for all n, X_n is an $(2, \beta)$-expander of degree $\leqslant d$.

Now, let $h_n \colon X_n \to G_n$ be K-Lipschitz maps and consider the composition $f_n := \psi_n \circ \pi_n \circ h_n$, where π_n is the projection from G_n to Q_n. By construction, f_n is a sequence of 1-Lipschitz maps from X_n to $\mathcal{H}$. We apply Corollary 2.2, with $A := X_n$ and deduce that there exist $x_n \in X_n$ and a subset $A_n \subseteq X_n$ of cardinality at least $|X_n|/2$ such that $f_n(A_n)$ is mapped inside the ball of radius $2\sqrt{\beta d}$ around $f_n(x_n)$. By properness of ρ, (3.2) implies that $\pi_n \circ h_n(A_n)$ is mapped inside the ball $B(\pi_n \circ h_n(x_n), r)$, where $r := \rho^{-1}(2\sqrt{\beta d})$. As this ball has cardinality at most k^r, there exists a subset A'_n of A_n of size at least $|A_n|/k^r \geqslant |X_n|/(2k^r)$ which is mapped by $\pi_n \circ h_n$ to a single point in Q_n. Therefore, $h_n(A'_n)$ is mapped inside a coset of N_n. Up to composing h_n by a left translation in G_n, we can assume that $h_n(A'_n)$ is mapped into N_n.

We now apply Corollary 2.2 to the map $f'_n := \phi_n \circ h_n : A'_n \to \mathcal{H}$, this yields $\beta' > 0$, and sequences $y_n \in A'_n$ and $A''_n \subseteq A'_n$ satisfying $|A''_n| \geqslant |A'_n|/2$, such that $f'_n(A''_n) \subseteq B(f'_n(y_n), 2\sqrt{\beta'})$. We deduce that $h_n(A''_n) \subseteq B(y_n, r')$, where $r' := \rho^{-1}(2\sqrt{\beta'})$. Hence, there exists a subset of A''_n of size at least $|A''_n|/k^{r'} \geqslant |X_n|/(4k^{r+r'})$ which is mapped by h_n to a single point. This ends the proof of Proposition 2.

4. Proof of Proposition 3

Let us start with an easy lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *If the pair (G, Y) has relative Property T, then for every finite generating subset S of G, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every affine isometric action $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, b)$ of G one has $\|b(y)\|^2 \leqslant C \sum_{s \in S} \|b(s)\|^2$ for all $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{H}_n, \pi_n, b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of isometric actions such that $\sum_{s\in S} \|b_n(s)\|^2 \leqslant 1$ for all $s \in S$, and a sequence $y_n \in Y$ such that $\|b_n(y_n)\| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Up to passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\|b_n(y_n)\|^2 \geqslant 2^n$. Then consider the norm-preserving representation of G obtained by taking the direct sum of $\bigoplus_n(\pi_n, \mathcal{H}_n)$ and the cocycle defined by $b := \sum_n b_n/n$. As $\|b(s)\|^2 \leqslant \sum_n 1/n^2$, b is well defined. On the other hand, $\|b(y_n)\|^2 \geqslant 2^n/n^2$, hence b is not bounded on Y, a contradiction. □

In this section, we adopt the notation of Proposition 3. We start with a map $f : Q \to \mathcal{H}$. Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}' := \ell^2(Q, \mathcal{H})$, which is a convenient way of denoting the orthogonal direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{H}$ indexed by Q. Denote $|\cdot|$ the norm on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the norm on $\mathcal{H}'$. Let π be the (norm-preserving) action of G by right-translation and consider the affine action σ of G on $\mathcal{H}'$ associated to the 1-cocycle

$$b(x) := f - \pi(x)f.$$

We have

$$\|b(x)\|^2 = \sum_{g\in Q} |f(g) - f(gx)|^2.$$

Now using Lemma 4.1, we deduce that $\|b(y)\|^2 \leqslant C \sum_{s\in S} \|b(s)\|^2$ for some constant $C > 0$ only depending on G and S. We precisely recover (1.2).

The proof of the second statement relies on the same argument, but using the following result instead of Lemma 4.1

Theorem 4.2. [Tes09, Theorem 7] *A group G generated by a finite subset S, does not have the Haagerup Property if and only if there exist a number $C > 0$ and a sequence of (symmetric) probability measures μ_n with finite support W_n satisfying $d(e, W_n) \to \infty$, such that every affine isometric action $(\mathcal{H}, \pi, b)$ of G satisfies $\sum_{y\in W_n} \|b(y)\|^2 \mu_n(y) \leqslant C \sum_{s\in S} \|b(s)\|^2$.*

5. Proof of Proposition 4

Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Let (Ω, μ) be a measured space and denote $\ell^p(Q, L^p)$ the vector space of functions from Q to $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ equipped with the L^p-norm

$$\|f\|_{\ell^p} := \sum_{g\in Q} \|f(g)\|_p^p,$$

for all $f : Q \to L^p$.

The operators M_S and $M_{q(H)}$ extend naturally to operators on $\ell^p(Q, L^p)$. Moreover, since H is normal, M_S and $M_{q(H)}$ commute with each other.

Since the pair (G, H) has relative Property T, we can apply (1.2) for $Y = H$: for all functions $f \in \ell^2(Q, L^2)$, this equation says that

$$\sum_{g\in Q} \|(f - M_{q(H)}f)(g)\|^2 \leqslant C \sum_{g\in Q, s\in S} \|f(g\bar{s}) - f(g)\|^2.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\sum_{g\in Q, s\in S} \|f(g\bar{s}) - f(g)\|^2 = 2|S| \sum_{g\in Q} \langle (1 - M_S)f(g), f(g)\rangle_{\ell^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|(1 - M_{q(H)})f\|_{\ell^2}^2 \leqslant 2C|S|\langle (1 - M_S)f, f\rangle_{\ell^2},$$

which implies that, while restricted to the orthogonal subspace to H-invariant vectors, the operator M_S has norm < 1. Since $1 - M_{q(H)}$ is the orthogonal projector onto this subspace, and since this projector commutes with M_S, this implies that the operator $M_S(1 - M_{q(H)})$ has norm < 1. On the other hand, observe that $M_S(1 - M_{q(H)})$ has norm $\leqslant 2$ for its actions on both $\ell^\infty(Q, L^\infty)$ and $\ell^1(Q, L^1)$. Hence we obtain by interpolation (see [BL76]) that for n_0 large enough (depending on p), the operator norm of $(M_S(1 - M_{q(H)}))^{n_0} = M_S^{n_0}(1 - M_{q(H)})$ for its action on $\ell^p(Q, L^p)$ is $\leqslant 1 - c_p$, for some $c_p > 0$. It follows that

$$\|h\|_{\ell^p} \leqslant \frac{1}{c_p}\|h - M_S^{n_0}(1 - M_{q(H)})h\|_{\ell^p},$$

for all $h \in \ell^p(Q, L^p)$. Using that $1 - M_{q(H)}$ is an involution, and applying the previous inequality to $h := (1 - M_{q(H)})f$, where $f \in \ell^p(Q, L^p)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1 - M_{q(H)})f\|_{\ell^p} &\leqslant \frac{1}{c_p}\|(1 - M_{q(H)})f - M_S^{n_0}(1 - M_{q(H)})f\|_{\ell^p} \\
&= \frac{1}{c_p}\|(1 - M_S^{n_0})(1 - M_{q(H)})f\|_{\ell^p} \\
&= \frac{1}{c_p}\|(1 - M_{q(H)})(1 - M_S^{n_0})f\|_{\ell^p} \\
&\leqslant \frac{2}{c_p}\|(1 - M_S^{n_0})f\|_{\ell^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then Proposition 4 follows, taking $C := (2/c_p)^p$.

6. Proof of Proposition 5

Given a measure space (Ω, μ) and a Banach space X, denote by $L^2(\Omega, \mu, X)$ the (Banach) space of square summable measurable functions from Ω to X, equipped with the obvious norm. The following class of Banach spaces was pointed out by V. Lafforgue who asked for a more concrete characterization:

Definition 6.1. [Pis10, §2] A Banach space X is *uniformly curved* if it satisfies the following property: there is a function $\varepsilon \to \Delta_X(\varepsilon)$ tending to zero with $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all measured space (Ω, μ), every operator $T : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \to L^2(\Omega, \mu)$ with $\|T\| \leqslant \varepsilon$ that is simultaneously of norm $\leqslant 1$ on L^1 and on L^∞ must be of norm $\leqslant \Delta(\varepsilon)$ on $L^2(\Omega, \mu, X)$.

Observe that the proof of Proposition 4 immediately extends (for $p = 2$) to Proposition 5. For this reason, we shall not repeat the argument. More precisely,

Definition 6 replaces the interpolation argument that was used there, and provides in a sense the minimal requirement for this proof to work.

Let us finally mention a remarkable fact proved by Pisier, showing that uniformly curved spaces are intimately related to complex interpolation: the subclass of uniformly curved spaces whose associated Δ_X can be taken of the form $\Delta_X(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^\delta$ with $\delta > 0$ coincides with the a priori much smaller class of subquotients of θ-Hilbert spaces (these are Banach spaces obtained by complex interpolation between a family of Banach spaces and a Hilbert space). He also provides a (more technical) characterization of uniformly curved Banach spaces [Pis10, Theorem 9.2].

7. Main result: proof of Theorem 1, and other constructions

Let us start with a convenient notation. Let V be a group, let H be a group of automorphisms of V, and let K be a group surjecting to H. Denote by $V \rtimes_H K$ the semi-direct product, where K acts on V via its quotient H.

Let Q be the kernel of the morphism $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This group is generated by 3 elements[3]. Let $\pi : \mathbb{F}_3 \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be the morphism mapping the standard generating subset $U := \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_3$ to some generating subset of Q.

We shall construct a box space for the following group

$$G := \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_Q \mathbb{F}_3,$$

where Q acts in the standard way on $\mathbb{Z}^2$. A generating subset S of cardinality 5 for G is the union of U with standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Now, for all $n \geqslant 1$, the image Q_n of Q in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ has order 2^{3n-3}. Let $U_n := \{u_1^{(n)}, u_2^{(n)}, u_3^{(n)}\}$ be the generating subset of Q_n obtained by projecting U. Consider the projection $H_{3n-3} \twoheadrightarrow Q_n$ of Lemma 2.3 (with $m = 3$) mapping T_{3n-3} to U_n, and let

$$G_n := (\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^2 \rtimes_{Q_n} H_{3n-3}.$$

Let K_n be the kernel of the surjective morphism $p_n \colon G \twoheadrightarrow G_n$. Observe that, setting $G_0 := \{e\}$ and $K_0 := G$, we have $\cap_{n=0}^\infty K_n = \{e\}$. Therefore, $\sqcup_{n=0}^\infty (G_n, S_n)$, where S_n is the projection of S, is a box space of G associated to S and to a nested sequence of finite index normal subgroups $G = K_0 \trianglerighteqslant K_1 \trianglerighteqslant \cdots$ with trivial intersection.

Our main result, Theorem 1, follows from the following more precise result. Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$.

Theorem 7.1. *The sequence of Cayley graphs $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the two following properties*

- *There exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function f from G_n to an L^p-space satisfies: for every $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^2$,*

$$\sum_{g\in G_n} \|f(gh) - f(g)\|^p \leqslant C \sum_{g\in G_n, s\in S_n} \|f(gs) - f(g)\|^p.$$

[3]Generators are $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

In particular, the sequence $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not uniformly coarsely embed into any L^p-space.

- *On the other hand, if $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of expander graphs and if $h_n : X_n \to (G_n, S_n)$ is a sequence of 1-Lipschitz maps, there exist a constant $c > 0$ and a sequence $y_n \in (G_n, S_n)$ such that the cardinality of $h_n^{-1}(\{y_n\})$ is at least $c|X_n|$ and its diameter is $\geqslant c\ \mathrm{diam}(X_n)$.*

Proof. First, note that the pair $(G, \mathbb{Z}^2)$ has relative Property T: indeed, this follows from the proof of [Bur91, Proposition 1]. Therefore, the first statement follows from Proposition 3.

For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the ball of radius r in (G_n, S_n) has cardinality $\leqslant |S|^r$. Hence by Lemma 2.5 and Corollary 2.6, the sequence of subgroups $(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})^2$ of G_n, equipped with the induced distance d_{S_n}, uniformly coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, Theorem 2.4 provides such a coarse embedding into $\mathcal{H}$ for the sequence $(H_{3n-3}, T_{3n-3})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. The second statement of the theorem therefore results from Proposition 2. □

In our construction, we use the fact that Q_n is a 2-group in order to apply Lemma 2.3. One can also take Q_n to be an l-group for any fixed integer $l > 2$ and coarsely embeddable box spaces from [Khu] which generalize that of [AGŠ12]. An analogue of Lemma 2.3 holds in this case as well, and is proved in the same way.

7.1. **A construction based on generalized wreath products.** Using a result of [CI11], we produce examples with expansion relative to an unbounded sequence of subsets, but not relative to any (unbounded) sequence of subgroups. Our construction is based on generalized wreath products.

Given two countable discrete groups A, G, and a countable set Z equipped with a G-action, the generalized wreath product $A \wr_Z G$ is the semi-direct product $\bigoplus_Z A \rtimes G$. In [CI11], it is proved that if Q is a quotient of G with Property T, then for every Q-orbit W in $\bigoplus_Z A$, the pair $(A \wr_Z G, W)$ has relative Property T. More generally, if Q does not have Haagerup property, then neither does $A \wr_Q G$.

Let us construct more examples of relative expanders which admit no any weakly embedded expanders.

We consider $Q := \ker(\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}))$, equipped with a finite generating subset U. Our new example will be a box space of the group $G := \mathbb{Z} \wr_Q \mathbb{F}_U$. For the moment, let us keep an arbitrary finitely generated group A as the "lamp group".

Let V be a generating subset of A. A generating subset of G is then given by $S := \{v_e,\ v \in V\} \sqcup U$, where the notation v_g for $g \in Q$ means the element of $\bigoplus_Q A$ which equals v at g and 0 everywhere else.

We consider the sequence of Cayley graphs $(G_n, S_n) := (A_n \wr_{Q_n} H_{8n-8}, S_n)$, where

- Q_n is the image of Q in $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$, observe that Q_n is a 2-group of order 2^{8n-8};
- H_{8n-8} is given by Lemma 2.3;

- A_n is a finite quotient of A, and V_n is the corresponding projection of V;
- $S_n := \{v_e,\ v \in V_n\} \sqcup U_n$, where U_n is the projection of U under the epimorphism $\mathbb{F}_U \twoheadrightarrow H_{8n-8}$.

Observe that (G_n, S_n) is a box space of (G, S), provided (A_n, V_n) is a box space of (A, V). For every n, consider the subset

$$Y_n := \{v_g,\ g \in Q_n, v \in V_n\}.$$

The sequence Y_n is clearly unbounded, it is not even of bounded size.

Let us start with an easy but useful lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $H = N \rtimes R$ be a semi-direct product of groups, and let T be a subset of N, invariant under conjugation by R. Then, the action of N on its Cayley graph (N, T) extends to an action of H (by graph isomorphisms).*

Proof. Denote the action of R on N by $r \cdot n$, where $r \in R$ and $n \in N$. Therefore the product in the semi-direct product reads $(n_1, r_1)(n_2, r_2) = (n_1(r_1 \cdot n_2), r_1 r_2)$. Define the action of H on N by $(n, r) \circ n_0 := n(r \cdot n_0)$. To see that this is a well-defined action, let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}((n_1, r_1)(n_2, r_2)) \circ n_0 &= n_1(r_1 \cdot n_2)((r_1 r_2) \cdot n_0)\\ &= n_1(r_1 \cdot n_2)(r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot n_0))\\ &= n_1(r_1 \cdot (n_2(r_2 \cdot n_0)))\\ &= n_1(r_1 \cdot ((n_2, r_2) \circ n_0))\\ &= (n_1, r_1) \circ ((n_2, r_2) \circ n_0).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for all $n_0, n, t \in N$ and $r \in R$, we have

$$(n, r) \circ (n_0 t) = n(r \cdot n_0)(r \cdot t).$$

Therefore, since T is invariant under conjugation by R, this action also preserves the graph structure. □

We now provide examples of box spaces that do not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space but yet are not expanders relative to any unbounded sequence of subgroups.

Theorem 7.3. *The sequence of Cayley graphs $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the following properties.*

- *There exists $C > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every function f from G_n to a Hilbert space satisfies: for all $y \in Y_n$,*

$$\sum_{g\in G_n} \|f(gy) - f(g)\|^2 \leqslant C \sum_{g\in G_n, s\in S_n} \|f(gs) - f(g)\|^2. \tag{7.1}$$

  In particular, the sequence $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not uniformly coarsely embed into a Hilbert space.
- *$(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not weakly contain any expander.*

- *Here we specify $A := \mathbb{Z}$ with its standard generator, and $A_n := \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists a sequence of 1-Lipschitz maps f_n from G_n to a Hilbert space such that for every sequence of subsets $\Sigma_n \subseteq G_n$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{k\in\Sigma_n} \inf_{g\in G_n} \|f_n(gk) - f_n(g)\| < \infty, \tag{7.2}$$

there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$ such that Σ_n lies in the r-neighborhood of $Y_n^{i_0}$.
- *The previous sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is such that for every unbounded sequence of subgroups $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there exists a sequence $k_n \in K_n$ such that*

$$\inf_{g\in G_n} \|f_n(gk_n) - f_n(g)\| \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $Y := \{v_g,\ g \in Q, v \in V\}$. The first statement is a consequence of the fact that the pair (G, Y) has relative Property T [CI11]. Note that we do not know whether the sequence $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ coarsely embeds into an L^p-space for large p. The second statement is proved in the same way as the second statement of Theorem 7.1.

Let us turn to the proof of the third statement. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the obvious euclidean embedding $h_n : \left(\bigoplus_{Q_n} \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}, Y_n\right) \to \mathbb{R}^{Q_n}$, where for each $g \in Q_n$, 1_g is sent to the basis element indexed by g. Note that for all $g, g' \in Q$, we have

$$(d_{Y_n}(g, g'))^{1/2} \leqslant \|h_n(g) - h_n(g')\| \leqslant d_{Y_n}(g, g'). \tag{7.3}$$

Moreover, since Y_n is invariant under conjugation in G_n, the action of $\bigoplus_{Q_n} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by translation on its Cayley graph extends to all of G_n by Lemma 7.2. Consider in addition the uniform coarse embedding j_n of H_{8n-8} from [AGŠ12] into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We obtain a Lipschitz map $f_n := h_n \oplus j_n$ from G_n to the orthogonal direct sum of these Hilbert spaces.

Now let $(\Sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of subsets of G_n satisfying (7.2). Uniform properness of the j_n's implies that Σ_n lies at uniformly bounded Hausdorff distance – say $\leqslant r$ – from a subset $\Sigma_n' \subseteq \bigoplus_{Q_n} \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$. But by (7.3), Σ_n' must lie in a ball of radius i_0 for d_{Y_n}, where $i_0 > 0$ is independent of n.

Finally, it is easy to adapt the previous argument to show the last statement (or directly deduce it from the previous one). □

7.2. **A construction which admits a fibered coarse embedding.** Observe that all of our examples above are box spaces of groups with relative Property T. It follows that these sequences do not admit any fibered coarse embedding into a Hilbert space. We give now an alternative construction of a box space of a group with the Haagerup property, which therefore does admit a fibered coarse embedding.

Let us proceed as in the preceding construction, replacing $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The resulting sequence of groups $G_n := A_n \wr_{Q_n} H_{k_n}$ is now a box space of $G := \mathbb{Z} \wr_Q \mathbb{F}_U$, which has the Haagerup property by [dCSV08], as Q, being a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, has the Haagerup property. On the other hand, the sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies a uniform spectral gap property by a famous result of Selberg. It follows from the

proof of [CI11, Theorem 3.1] that the sequence $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has relative Property T with respect to Y, in restriction to unitary representations which are direct sums of representations which factor through some G_n. Observe that this restricted version of relative Property T is exactly what was needed to prove the Poincaré inequality (7.1) in Theorem 7.3. Therefore, it follows that the sequence $(G_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space.

8. Open problems

Our relative expanders, admitting no weakly embedded expanders, provide a new type of geometric and analytic behavior among spaces of bounded geometry. Therefore, we expect a further impact on coarse and metric geometry as well as on operator algebra. In particular, the following natural problems remain open.

- Do the examples constructed in Sections 7.1 and 7.2 satisfy the (reduced) coarse Baum-Connes conjecture?
- Construct a sequence of finite graphs with bounded degree, and unbounded girth which does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space and yet has no weakly embeded expander (in particular: a box space of $\mathbb{F}_m$).
- Construct a finitely generated group which does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space and yet has no weakly embedded expander. This is stated as an open problem in [GK04], see also [NY12, Section 5.7].
- Does there exist a graph with bounded degree which does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space and yet coarsely embeds into an L^p-space for p large enough? Is it possible to construct such an example using sequences of finite Cayley graphs? Note that it is not shown yet whether our second construction (based on generalized wreath products) provides a space which does not coarsely embed into any L^p-space for $p > 2$.
- More generally, it would be interesting to know in which Banach spaces relative expanders can – or cannot – coarsely embed. In particular, the methods of [Mim] and of [MN12] might be used to extend the class of metric spaces (not only Banach spaces) in which relative expanders with respect to unbounded normal subgroups cannot coarsely embed.
- It results from (2.1), that expanders (or even large subsets of expanders) do not weakly embed into ℓ^p for all $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. On the other hand all of our examples do weakly embed into ℓ^2 (just take a coarse embedding of Q_n). Therefore, it seems relevant to ask whether a sequence of finite graphs with uniformly bounded degree that does not weakly embed into ℓ^2 is necessarily an expander.

Appendix A. Our relative expanders do not satisfy "expander like" Poincaré inequalities

The purpose of this appendix is to strengthen the fact that our relative expanders are very far from actual expanders. More precisely, we show that our box spaces

do not satisfy Poincaré inequalities which are – in a certain sense – similar to those satisfied by actual expanders.

The main technical result of this section is the following observation.

Proposition A.1. *Let $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of semi-direct products $G_n := N_n \rtimes Q_n$ together with subsets $S_n \subseteq G_n$ of fixed cardinality such that*

- *S_n generates G_n;*
- *N_n is finite for all n;*
- *if $\pi_n : G_n \twoheadrightarrow Q_n$ denotes the canonical projection, the groups $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are quotients of some exact[4] group Q such that the sequence of Cayley graphs $(Q_n, \pi_n(S_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in the space of marked groups to some Cayley graph of Q;*
- *the sequence $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the metric induced by d_{S_n} coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.*

Then there exists a sequence of 1-Lipschitz maps $\phi_n : (G_n, S_n) \to \mathcal{H}$ whose restriction to N_n is a coarse embedding of $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ into $\mathcal{H}$.

The condition on $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is satisfied if the sequence $(Q_n, \pi_n(S_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has girth tending to infinity as $n \to \infty$. Indeed, in this case, $(Q_n, \pi_n(S_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in the space of marked groups to a Cayley graph of a free group. Thus, this proposition applies to all the box spaces we have constructed in §7.

Before we prove the proposition, let us deduce our main motivation for this appendix, namely the following result (which also applies to the sequence of graphs we have constructed in §7).

Corollary A.2. *Let $(G_n, S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition A.1 and assume, in addition, that the sequence $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose that there exists a sequence of probability measures μ_n on G_n, supported on a sequence of subsets $A_n \subseteq G_n$ such that*

- *μ_n is comparable to the uniform measure on A_n:*

$$\inf_{n, a\in A_n} \mu_n(a) > 0;$$

- *G_n satisfies the following Poincaré inequality: there exists $C < \infty$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every 1-Lipschitz map $f_n : G_n \to \mathcal{H}$,*

$$\sum_{a,b\in A_n} \|f_n(a) - f_n(b)\|^2 \mu_n(a)\mu_n(b) \leqslant C. \tag{A.1}$$

Then A_n is bounded: there exists $R > 0$ such that for all n, A_n is contained in a ball of radius R of G_n with respect to d_{S_n}.

Proof of Corollary A.2. The arguments are very similar to those employed in the proof of Proposition 2, therefore we only sketch them. First assume that the cardinality of A_n is bounded uniformly over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we see that A_n must be

[4] that is, $C^*_{\mathrm{red}}(Q)$ is an exact C^*-algebra or Q has Guoliang Yu's Property A [NY12].

bounded by applying (A.1) to the 1-Lipschitz functions on G_n defined by:

$$f_n(g) := \frac{1}{|A_n|} \sum_{a \in A_n} d_{S_n}(a, g).$$

We assume now that the cardinality of A_n is unbounded. Pick a 1-Lipschitz coarse embedding ψ_n of Q_n into $\mathcal{H}$. Applying the Poincaré inequality to $\psi_n \circ \pi_n$ yields as in the proof of Proposition 2 that there exists $c > 0$ such that at least $c|A_n|$ points of A_n are sent to a single point in Q_n. In other words, a positive proportion of A_n belongs to a single coset of N_n, say N_n itself. But then applying (A.1) to the sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Proposition A.1 yields the required contradiction. □

Proof of Proposition A.1. Since Q is exact, it follows from the proof of [DG03, Theorem 4.1] that the sequence of semi-direct products $N_n \rtimes Q$ admits a coarse embedding $\psi_n \colon N_n \rtimes Q \to \mathcal{H}$. Now since $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to Q there exists a sequence $r_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, such that balls of radius r_n in Q_n are isometric to the ball of radius r_n of Q. It follows that the subsets $[N_n]_{r_n} := \{x \in G_n,\ d(x, N_n) \leqslant r_n\}$ of G_n are isometric to the corresponding subsets of $N_n \rtimes Q$. In particular, ψ_n can be seen as a coarse embedding of $[N_n]_{r_n}$ into $\mathcal{H}$.

First, up to "slowing down" ψ_n as explained at the beginning of the proof of Proposition 2, one can assume that ψ_n is 1-Lipschitz and satisfies that $\psi_n(N_n)$ is contained in the ball of radius r_n centered at the origin of $\mathcal{H}$.

Now we define $\phi_n \colon G_n \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\phi_n(g) := \psi_n(g) \left(1 - \frac{d_{S_n}(g, N_n)}{r_n}\right)$$

if $g \in [N_n]_{r_n}$, and 0 otherwise. One easily checks that in restriction to $[N_n]_{r_n}$, ϕ_n is 2-Lipschitz: this is because ψ_n is 1-Lipschitz and satisfies $\|\psi_n(g)\| \leqslant r_n$, while $1 - d_{S_n}(g, N_n)/r_n$ is $1/r_n$-Lipschitz and has absolute value at most 1. Moreover, for g at distance r_n from N_n, $\phi_n(g) = 0$. Therefore, we deduce from the triangle inequality, using that the distance in G_n is geodesic, that $\frac{1}{2}\phi_n$ is 1-Lipschitz everywhere. □

References

[AW81] C. A. Akemann and M. E. Walter, *Unbounded negative definite functions*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), no. 4, 862–871.

[Alo86] N. Alon, *Eigenvalues and expanders, Theory of computing (Singer Island, Fla., 1984)*, Combinatorica **6** (1986), no. 2, 83–96.

[ALW01] N. Alon, A. Lubotzky, and A. Wigderson, *Semi-direct product in groups and Zig-zag product in graphs: Connections and applications, 42nd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (Las Vegas, NV, 2001)*, IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, (2001), 630–637.

[AGŠ12] G. Arzhantseva, E. Guentner, and J. Špakula, *Coarse non-amenability and coarse embeddings*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 1, 22–36.

[BLMN05] Y. Bartal, N. Linial, M. Mendel, and A. Naor, *On metric Ramsey-type phenomena*, Ann. of Math. **162** (2005), no. 2, 643–709.

[BCV95] M. E. B. Bekka, P.-A. Cherix, and A. Valette, *Proper affine isometric actions of amenable groups*, (Oberwolfach, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 1–4.

[BL76] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction. Grundlehren der Mathematis- chen Wissenschaften*, Vol. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[Bur91] M. Burger, *Kazhdan constants for SL*(3, $\mathbb{Z}$), J. Reine Angew. Math. **413** (1991), 36–67.
[CWW13] X. Chen, Q. Wang, and X. Wang, *Characterization of the Haagerup property by fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), no. 5, 1091–1099.
[CWY13] X. Chen, Q. Wang, and G. Yu, *The maximal coarse Baum–Connes conjecture for spaces which admit a fibred coarse embedding into Hilbert space*, Adv. Math. **249** (2013), 88–130.
[CI11] I. Chifan and A. Ioana, *On Relative property (T) and Haagerup's property*, Transactions of the AMS **363** (2011), 6407–6420.
[Cor06] Y. Cornulier, *Relative Kazhdan Property*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **39** (2006), no. 2, 301–333.
[dCSV08] Y. de Cornulier, Y. Stalder, and A. Valette, *Proper actions of lamplighter groups associated with free groups*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **346** (2008), no. 3-4, 173–176.
[CTV07] Y. Cornulier, R. Tessera, and A. Valette, *Isometric group actions on Hilbert spaces: growth of cocycles*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **17** (2007), no. 3, 770–792.
[DG03] M. Dadarlat and E. Guentner, *Constructions preserving Hilbert space uniform embeddability of discrete groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 8, 3253–3275.
[Fin] M. Finn-Sell, *Fibred coarse embeddings, a-T-menability and the coarse analogue of the Novikov conjecture*, arXiv:1304.3348.
[Gro00] M. Gromov, *Spaces and questions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. (2000), 118–161. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999).
[Gro03] ———, *Random walk in random groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13** (2003), no. 1, 73–146.
[GK04] E. Guentner and J. Kaminker, *Geometric and analytic properties of groups*, in: Noncommutative geometry, Ed. by S. Doplicher and R. Longo, Lecture Notes Math. **1831** (2004), 253–262.
[HLS02] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, and G. Skandalis, *Counterexamples to the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 2, 330–354.
[HLW06] Sh. Hoory, N. Linial, and A. Wigderson, *Expander graphs and their applications*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **43** (2006), no. 4, 439–561.
[JR06] W. B. Johnson and N. L. Randrianarivony, l_p $(p > 2)$ *does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 4, 1045–1050.
[Khu] A. Khukhro, *Embeddable box spaces of free groups*, arXiv:1304.4784.
[Lub94] A. Lubotzky, *Discrete groups, expanding graphs and invariant measures*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 125, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994. With an appendix by Jonathan D. Rogawski.
[Mat97] J. Matoušek, *On embedding expanders into ℓ_p spaces*, Israel J. Math. **102** (1997), 189–197.
[MN06] R. Mayer and R. Nest, *The Baum-Connes conjecture via localisation of categories*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 2, 209–259.
[Mim] M. Mimura, *Sphere equivalence, Banach expanders, and extrapolation*, arXiv:1310.4737.
[MN08] M. Mendel and A. Naor, *Metric cotype*, Ann. Math. **168** (2008), no. 1, 247–298.
[MN12] ———, *Nonlinear spectral calculus and super-expanders*, To appear in Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. (2012).
[NY12] P. Nowak and G. Yu, *Large scale geometry*, Zürich: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2012.
[Ost09] M. Ostrovskii, *Coarse embeddability into Banach spaces*, Topology Proceedings **33** (2009), 163-183.
[Ost13] ———, *Metric Embeddings. Bilipschitz and Coarse Embeddings into Banach Spaces*, Vol. 49, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Berlin/Boston, 2013.
[OOY09] H. Oyono-Oyono and G. Yu, *K-theory for the maximal Roe algebra of certain expanders*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 10, 3239–3292.
[Pis10] G. Pisier, *Complex interpolation between Hilbert, Banach and Operator Spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **208** (2010), no. 978, vi+78.
[Ran06] N.L. Randrianarivony, *Characterization of quasi-Banach spaces which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 1315–1317.
[Roe03] J. Roe, *Lectures on coarse geometry*, AMS University Lecture Series, vol. 31, 2003.
[Sch38] I.J. Schoenberg, *Metric spaces and positive definite functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 522–553.
[Tes09] R. Tessera, *Coarse embeddings into a Hilbert space, Haagerup property and Poincaré inequalities*, J. Topol. Anal. **1** (2009), no. 1, 87–100.

[Tu99] J. L. Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables.*, K-Theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264.

[WY12a] R. Willett and G. Yu, *Higher index theory for certain expanders and Gromov monster groups, I*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1380–1416.

[WY12b] ———, *Higher index theory for certain expanders and Gromov monster groups, II*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1762–1803.

[Woj91] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces for analysts*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 25, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

Universität Wien, Fakultät für Mathematik, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria.

E-mail address: goulnara.arzhantseva@univie.ac.at

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Bâtiment 425, Université Paris-Sud 11, 91405 Orsay, France

E-mail address: romain.tessera@math.u-psud.fr